

EQUALITY AND INCLUSION IMPACT ASSESSMENT

<p>1. Policy / activity being assessed</p>	<p>Innovation Partnership Scheme (IPS) Fellowships. The thrice yearly process for reviewing and decision making for new IPS Fellowship proposals including application, peer review (by current IPS Fellows) and panel assessment,</p>
<p>2. Summary of aims and objectives of the policy / activity</p>	<p>The Innovation Partnership Scheme (IPS) Fellowship is designed to support a knowledge exchange and commercialisation (KEC) professional in a position at a UK university in developing innovation and impact from its STFC-funded research outputs. It is not a research fellowship.</p> <p>The IPS fellow will cultivate innovation opportunities by facilitating the transfer of technologies, skills and knowledge, developed through funding from the STFC Science Programme, to industry and other users of research outputs. The fellow will focus on commercial exploitation to ensure UK economic impact. The fellow will also strive to enhance the capacity for knowledge exchange within STFC funded departments of the host university.</p> <p>IPS Fellowships may be held at any UK university and can be single university fellowships or multi-institutional fellowships. The host university will be in receipt of significant active grant funding from the STFC Science Programme (particle physics, astronomy, nuclear physics and space science).</p> <p>It is anticipated the fellow will bring experience of both technology transfer and a relevant science background. They will be expected to have a good appreciation of the STFC science programme and of the related technologies, applications and industrial sectors of users.</p> <p>The application is made for an unnamed Fellowship post with the successful RO will being responsible for recruiting a suitable individual to fill the position.</p> <p>IPS closing dates are every four months.</p> <p>Proposals are reviewed by two current IPS Fellows and then assessed by an assessment panel made up of a combination of academic and industrial experts. STFC makes funding decisions based upon the recommendations of this panel.</p> <p>Awards are made subject to the UKRI research grant terms and conditions.</p>

<p>3. What involvement and consultation has been done in relation to this policy?</p>	<p>STFC is dedicated to ensuring that the peer review process is open and inclusive. Those engaged in the process endeavour to conduct each stage in a fair manner, without prejudice or bias, In line with this we follow the Nolan Principles. Additionally, comments and suggestions received as part of the peer review survey are implemented where possible to improve the process.</p> <p>Innovation Advisory Board reviewed all of the External Innovation schemes in 2015 but no amendments to the IPS Fellowships were recommended.</p> <p>For Applicants:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The call is advertised widely to reach the largest possible audience • The call text and guidance provides detailed guidelines to Applicants about the process including clear information on eligibility and assessment criteria and links to the Research Grants Handbook, • STFC will make available hard copies of documents when required • The STFC website conforms to accessibility requirements for websites • STFC will support Applicants throughout the process, from pre-submission right through to informing them of the outcome. <p>For Panel Members:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pre-meeting discussions take place, STFC staff will work closely with the Panel Chair to agree approaches that are designed to minimise opportunities for bias and improve transparency of the decision making process • Everyone involved in the decision-making process is aware of Unconscious Bias and encouraged to undertake training. It is planned that going forward such training will be mandatory • STFC endeavour to achieve the minimum 30% female participation and will justify if this isn't the case. For the IPS panel the current percentage of females is 20%. The Innovations community is an area with marked gender imbalance but this is an improvement on the previous 0% female membership. • STFC enable participation for people with alternative work patterns, including reduced working hours • Panel members are asked to inform STFC if they have any additional needs to enable attendance or participation • STFC will schedule appropriate breaks and provide refreshments for Panel members

	<p>For STFC Staff:</p> <p>All STFC staff involved in the process will receive training in unconscious bias to raise awareness of conditions that may impact upon their decision making</p> <p>Venue arrangements when required will be selected for ease of accessibility; will not conflict with different cultures, religions or beliefs; and will offer a full range of sensory accessibility relating to visual and hearing impairments.</p> <p>Catering will be arranged with clear labelling and be appropriate to those who have specific cultural, religious, health or disability needs</p>
<p>4. Who is affected by the policy/funding activity/event?</p>	<p>Anyone who applies for an STFC IPS Fellowship Award, or anyone involved in the assessment process.</p>
<p>5. Arrangements for monitoring and reviewing actual impact of the policy</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STFC will review this equality impact assessment annually to identify any actions or interventions that are required to improve diversity and inclusion. • STFC monitors and analyses data to better understand the diversity of its Community • STFC hold an annual nominations exercise for Panel membership and when published provides guidance on diversity targets • Panel membership for each meeting is published on the website <p>Over the last three financial years (April 15 – March 18) 100% of principal applicants were male with 80% being successful. The total number of applications for the period was just five which were spread across multiple calls so volumes are very low. As mentioned above, the successful RO has control over who they employ into the actual Fellowship position with peer review focussing more on the proposed work package and support environment provided by the host RO. The applicant will typically be the line manager and can request salary costs associated with this so the review process could still lead to bias. The STFC community tends to view Innovation</p>

funding as a low priority and hence only a small subset tend to engage with our funding opportunities in this area. Given the already small population of women it is maybe not surprising that the pool of female applicants is even less for this, and other, innovation schemes.

Group	Potential for positive or negative impact?	Examples of any evidence/data used	Action to address negative impact (e.g. adjustment to the policy)
Disability	Yes – negative impact	<p>Applicants and/or reviewers with visual disabilities or impairments may find it difficult to access and review electronic documentation.</p> <p>Applicants or panel members with mobility disabilities may face difficulties in attending the panel</p>	<p>STFC will take all reasonable steps to make adjustments to the assessment process in order to enable participation. As examples, this may include the use of video conferencing or equivalent tools, tailored timing of the meeting schedule, or provision of specific facilities to enable an applicant or panel member to be comfortable in the meeting environment.</p> <p>Electronic information is accessible and STFC will investigate the ability for the potential use of screen readers for personnel who are visually impaired.</p>

		<p>meetings.</p> <p>Panel members with hearing difficulties may find it hard to engage in discussions.</p> <p>Attendees with neuro-disabilities may experience difficulties with concentration during panel assessments.</p>	<p>STFC will respond to individual support needs on a case by case basis.</p> <p>STFC will ensure the meeting venue is compatible with the use of hearing aid loops and will work with individuals to understand what other help can be provided.</p> <p>STFC will select a room that is light and airy, ensure that plenty of breaks are built in to the agenda and ensure that colours chosen don't trigger migraines; different colours may assist in this if personnel don't bring their own laptops.</p> <p>STFC consult with all Panel members to understand any specific requirements for participation and will accommodate where required.</p>
Gender reassignment		<p>Information regarding gender reassignment is not made available throughout the peer review process. However, this may become apparent during the meeting stage or it may be possible that panel members already know this information or could endeavour to seek it out.</p>	<p>Panel members are required to follow best practice in taking positive steps to safeguard funding decisions, and are reminded of the conditions that may lead to unconscious bias during each meeting. STFC staff presence during interview acts as an additional assurance to ensure unbiased peer review</p>
Marriage or civil partnership	Yes – negative impact	<p>Bias may be voiced in relation to new partners starting a family therefore</p>	<p>Panel members are required to follow best practice in taking positive steps to safeguard funding decisions, and are reminded of the conditions that may</p>

		not given as a high a priority	lead to unconscious bias during each meeting. STFC staff presence during meetings acts as an additional assurance to ensure unbiased peer review.
Pregnancy, Maternity, Paternity, Nursing mothers, Caring responsibilities?	Yes – negative impact	<p>Panel members who are pregnant or on parental leave may find it difficult to access the venue and /or participate in meetings.</p> <p>Nursing mothers may need additional support in terms of suitable accommodation or childcare</p> <p>An applicant’s career path and track record may be criticised due to the existence of a number of career breaks due to maternity/paternity.</p> <p>Panel members may face additional childcare costs if having to work outside of their normal hours.</p>	<p>Panel members are required to follow best practice in taking positive steps to safeguard funding decisions, and are reminded of the conditions that may lead to unconscious bias during each meeting. STFC staff presence during meetings acts as an additional assurance to ensure unbiased peer review.</p> <p>STFC consult with all Panel members to understand and cater for their requirements for participation. As a general principle, venues are easily accessible to main rail links.</p> <p>Meetings are timetabled to allow for adequate breaks.</p> <p>Ensure suitable accommodation provided for nursing mothers and additional childcare.</p> <p>STFC recognise this issue and the potential impact and will consider as part of the review. The Chair will appropriately moderate discussions and ensure the objective application of the assessment criteria.</p> <p>STFC will reimburse additional childcare costs, above any beyond that required during normal working hours.</p>

Race	Yes – negative impact	Information regarding race is not made available throughout the peer review process. However, it may be possible that panel members already know this information or could endeavour to seek it out.	Panel members are required to follow best practice in taking positive steps to safeguard funding decisions, and are reminded of the conditions that may lead to unconscious bias during each meeting. STFC staff presence during meetings acts as an additional assurance to ensure unbiased peer review.
Religion or belief	Yes – negative impact	<p>Panel members may be unable to participate in meetings due to religious observances</p> <p>Panel members or attendees may have specific dietary requirements due to religious belief.</p>	<p>Panel members are required to follow best practice in taking positive steps to safeguard funding decisions, and are reminded of the conditions that may lead to unconscious bias during each meeting. STFC staff presence during meetings acts as an additional assurance to ensure unbiased peer review.</p> <p>Consideration will be given to the timing of panel meetings so that Panel members from different religious communities can attend if requested.</p> <p>STFC consult with all Panel members to understand and cater for their requirements for participation. Ensure the venue caters for all dietary requirements.</p>
Sexual orientation	Yes – negative impact	Information regarding sexual orientation is not made available throughout the peer review process. However, it may be possible that panel members already know this	Panel members are required to follow best practice in taking positive steps to safeguard funding decisions, and are reminded of the conditions that may lead to unconscious bias during each meeting. STFC staff presence during meetings acts as an additional assurance to ensure unbiased peer review.

		information or could endeavour to seek it out.	
Sex (gender)	Yes – negative impact	<p>Panel members, reviewers may criticise track record, publication history which could be as a result of extended maternity, paternity leave.</p> <p>Negative perceptions of an applicant’s gender may be expressed by a peer reviewer or a panel member.</p>	<p>STFC are committed to ensuring that Panel Chairs are briefed on how to handle such situations.</p> <p>Panel members are required to follow best practice in taking positive steps to safeguard funding decisions, and are reminded of the conditions that may lead to unconscious bias during each meeting. STFC staff presence during meetings acts as an additional assurance to ensure unbiased peer review.</p>
Age	Yes – negative impact	Panel members, reviewers may cite age in terms of lack of experience or in terms of near retirement	<p>Panel members are required to follow best practice in taking positive steps to safeguard funding decisions, and are reminded of the conditions that may lead to unconscious bias during each meeting. STFC staff presence during meetings acts as an additional assurance to ensure unbiased peer review.</p> <p>The Assessment criteria for IPS are not linked to age</p>